Use of the Paediatric Observation Priority Score (POPS) and PEWS in the Children’s Emergency Department. This SOP does not provide advice on the clinical management of children.

1. Introduction and who guideline applies to

The Paediatric Observation Priority Score (POPS) has been used in the Leicester Royal Infirmary since 2012 to aid initial and ongoing assessment of children. This SOP describes its background, use and role within the Department and relevant dependencies with PEWS, the in-patient scoring system.

POPS is a set of observations that are to be used on all patients who present to the children’s Emergency Department and have ongoing observations with it except for the patients on the Children’s Short Stay Unit who will receive a Children’s Hospital PEWS (Paediatric Early Warning Score):
POPS may be undertaken by nursing staff and health care assistants who have been deemed competent to assess children.

**Paediatric Observation Priority Score (POPS) Chart**

This chart is not a substitute for good clinical judgement and any concerns about the condition of a child should be brought to the attention of a senior nurse or doctor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Sats</td>
<td>&lt;90%</td>
<td>90-94%</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
<td>90-94%</td>
<td>&lt;90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Breathing</td>
<td>Stridor</td>
<td>Audible grunt or wheeze</td>
<td>No distress</td>
<td>Mild or Moderate Recession</td>
<td>Severe Recession</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any</td>
<td>AVPU</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>Voice</td>
<td>Alert</td>
<td>Voice</td>
<td>Pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Gut Feeling</td>
<td>High level concern</td>
<td>Low level concern</td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>Low level concern</td>
<td>Child looks unwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Oncology Patient</td>
<td>Significant PMH(^\d)</td>
<td>Significant PMH(^\d)</td>
<td>Congenital heart disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Score**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0-1</th>
<th>2-3</th>
<th>4-7</th>
<th>8+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Priority**

Any child scoring above 8 should be considered for transfer to resus.

*Significant PMH includes:
- Ex-premature
- Syndromic conditions
- Cardiac problems
- Asthma
- Diabetes
- Long term steroids
- All other chronic conditions
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**POPS is made up of 8 domains**

Four are objective vital signs: Heart Rate (pulse), Respiratory Rate (RR). Temperature, (Temp), Oxygen Saturations (Sats) are collected measured according to UHL Policy on observations.

Four are subjective and relate to: the child’s work and effort of Breathing (Breathing), an AVPU score (AVPU), a measure of health care professional concern (gut feeling) and whether there is any relevant past medical history (other) are measured according to the healthcare professionals best judgement and relevant training.

The following process applied to inputting details into e-Observation devices or paper charts.
The Emergency Room:

Children presenting to the Emergency Room will be initial placed on POPS. At the request of the treating clinician a PEWS score can be derived. This can be used to compare acuity against other patients that they may be currently managing around the hospital or make decisions based on trajectory of illness.

Ward Transfer:

Children waiting for transfer to the Ward will remain on POPS until they have left the emergency department. At the discretion of the Nurse looking after the patient, or the Nurse in Charge, the PEWS module can be activated and PEWS undertaken.

POPS is specifically designed for Emergency Department use and has a large range of scores 0-16 to accommodate the diversity of patients seen and account for the large turnover of patients presenting with initially deranged observations which return to normal values in 1-2 hours.
3. Education and Training

There is a training package on POPS available and recent published evidence has demonstrated that variation is minimal between staff members even without prior training \(^1\). Where errors are made these are universally in over-scoring observations which reduces the risk of harm to patients.

All staff should have accessed the POPS training prior to commencing the assessment of training. In situations where this has not been possible the nurse in charge of the department has the discretion to allow individuals to make independent assessments but these should be reviewed by senior staff for the first couple of assessments.

4. Monitoring Compliance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What will be measured to monitor compliance</th>
<th>How will compliance be monitored</th>
<th>Monitoring Lead</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Reporting Arrangements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of complete observations per clinical area</td>
<td>Electronic Reporting</td>
<td>Dr. Roland</td>
<td>Six monthly</td>
<td>tbc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Supporting Information

When considering scoring systems in Paediatric Emergency Departments there is a simultaneous challenge to identify the most ill children while also aiding decisions on which patients are safe to discharge. There needs to be recognition that patients receiving urgent and emergency care are a different cohort of patient than those on the ward or in intensive care.

Escalation of patients who do not need urgent attention draws resources away from patients who do need intervention. POPS is designed to promote critical thinking, reduce cognitive overload, as opposed to being a decision making aid via the following:

Reduce Knowledge Deficit: Most tools define an acceptable reference range for each physiological variable commonly measured. This assists junior staff, particularly if inexperienced with children

Decrease cognitive load: Graphical or numerical display of physiological observations, whether at the triage stage or repeatedly over time, allows clear identification of sick children.

Escalate seniority of review: All early activation of senior clinician involvement

Identify both tails of the distribution curve: Ability to identify potentially critically unwell children as well as those fit for discharge without hospital admission, is the fundamental purpose of an ED

Improve Communication: Many tools are now integral to the process of patient handover and triage. Handover is well known to be a high-risk transition for patients, and objectivity and alerts are possible using scoring systems.
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Appendix

Early Warning Scores were developed following retrospective reviews of care preceding unplanned admission to intensive care units, where a recurrent theme was that of well documented physiological deterioration over many hours that was either not recognised or not acted upon². Similarly, the 2006 CEMACH report “Why children die” identified failure to recognise severity of illness in children as a significant remediable factor in paediatric deaths and recommended “a standardised and rational monitoring system with imbedded early identification systems for children developing critical illness – an Early Warning Score”³.

There is, as yet, no universal Early Warning Score (EWS) for children, and although multiple versions have been developed at local levels⁴,⁵ direct evidence of their benefit is lacking for their utility⁶.

A £1.8 million National Institute of Health Research grant is currently reviewing the evidence and undertaking a validation of a potential system.

Despite the lack of evidence it has been assumed that a PEWS system would translate well into Emergency Department practice. There is so far no evidence of this being the case. In fact because the large majority of patients presenting to Emergency Departments have previously been un-treated so they present with fever and distress a significant proportion unnecessarily trigger warning systems. This poor specificity (i.e. many false positives) has been demonstrated to result in inappropriate use of resources⁷.

A review of Early Warning Score use in Children’s Emergency Departments demonstrated effectiveness in recognising the very sick child⁸ but much poorer performance on identifying need for admission. The tools were not particularly discriminatory meaning children with high scores were often admitted but so were children with low scores as well.

The initial POPS study demonstrated an increased relative risk of admission with a POPS >1⁹, and demonstrated the utility of its novel nurse gut feeling component. Further data on over 20000 patients has demonstrated a relationship between length of stay and increasing POPS¹⁰. From these 20000 patients only 11 children discharged with POPS 0 returned to be admitted and required further definitive management.

Paediatric practice in emergency medicine aims to identify sick children and avoid unnecessary admission. It is clearly not a reasonable proposition to admit every child who may be unwell as this would overload services and create further errors later in the system. Safely identifying the child suitable for discharge after a small period of observation is core skill of emergency care practitioners who deal with children. The POPS systems has been specifically designed to solve this problem and now has a considerable evidence base to support its use¹¹,¹².

There is minimal evidence to support the use of PEWS in Children’s Emergency Departments as an specific and sensitive scoring system.
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